



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/399,696	09/21/1999	KEHSING J. CHOU	ST9-99-093	2558	
;	7590 12/31/2002				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACKEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER		
	/LVANIA AVENUE NW DN, DC 20037-3213	•	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, TAM V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2172 DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

,			$\sim$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/399,696	CHOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the	Tam V Nguyen	2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	leet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimurd will apply and will expire SIX (te, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	October 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application l	has been received.	,			
Attachment(s)	p					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PTo er:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 and the added of claim 19-21 are pending in this office action. Claims
 1-21 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the argument filed on 10/23/02.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/23/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argued, "Jindal fails to teach or suggest, "selecting a server ... based on whether the server can satisfy the request for data"".

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the argument because Jindal shows where the selected policy requires choosing the least-loaded server (e.g., that which has the fastest response time) or where the selected policy requires choosing the closest server, (col. 6, lines 32-46). Therefore, the examiner maintains the rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

احا

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/399,696

Art Unit: 2172

4. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. ("Performance Comparison of Three Alternatives of Distributed Multidatabase Systems: A Global Query Perspective") in view of Jindal et al. (US 6324580B1).

Re claims 1 and 13, Chen discloses receiving a request for data at a federated data source, (Pages 54, 1<sup>st</sup> Col., lines 6-2<sup>nd</sup> Col., lines 2 and see abstract).

Chen does not clearly teach selecting a server to process the request based on a load of the server and based on whether the server can satisfy the request for data.

Jindal teaches selecting a server to process the request based on a load of the server and based on whether the server can satisfy the request for data, (Col. 6, lines 4-Col. 7, lines 21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chen by including selecting a server to process the request based on a load of the server and based on whether the server can satisfy the request for data, as taught by Jindal, so the computer server capable of efficiently satisfying the needs of a limited number of clients, (Col. 1, lines 8-16).

Re claims 2, 8, and 14, Jindal further discloses forwarding the request to the selected server, (Col. 6, lines 4-Col. 7, lines 21).

Re claims 3, 9, and 15, Jindal further discloses forwarding additional requests for similar data to the selected server, (Col. 6, lines 4-Col. 7, lines 21).

Art Unit: 2172

Re claims 4, 10, and 16, Chen further discloses wherein the server is within a server hierarchy, (Pages 54, 2<sup>nd</sup> Col., lines 25-Pages 55, 1<sup>st</sup> Col., lines 5 and see fig. 3).

Re claims 5, 11, and 17, Chen further discloses upon receiving a request to add another server, connecting the server to an existing server in the server hierarchy based on a number of connections of the existing server, (Pages 54, 2<sup>nd</sup> Col., lines 25-Pages 55, 1<sup>st</sup> Col., lines 5 and see fig. 3).

Re claims 6, 12, and 18, Chen further discloses upon receiving a request to delete an existing server in the hierarchy, deleting that server, (Pages 54, 1<sup>st</sup> Col., lines 25-Pages 55, 1<sup>st</sup> Col., lines 5 and see fig. 3).

Re claim 7, the subject matter of claim 7 are rejected in the analyzed above in claim 1; therefore, claim 7 is also rejected for the same reasons as given in claim 1.

Re claims 19-21, Jindal further discloses wherein said load of the server is based on at least the ratio of a current load of the server and a maximum load of the server, (Col. 6, lines 32-46).

### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/399,696 Page 5

Art Unit: 2172

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/399,696

Art Unit: 2172

### Contact Information

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam V Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3735. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5: 00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Yen Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for formal communications and (703) 746-7240 for informal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202. Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

TV:tv

12/18/02